

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa2 to Westerly Town, RI's \$18M GO Bonds, 2014 Series A

Global Credit Research - 02 May 2014

Aa2 rating applies to \$57.9M rated GO debt outstanding

WESTERLY (TOWN OF) RI
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
RI

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
-------	--------

General Obligation Bonds, 2014 Series A	Aa2
---	-----

Sale Amount \$18,030,000

Expected Sale Date 05/16/14

Rating Description General Obligation

Moody's Outlook NOO

Opinion NOTE: On May 15, 2014, the report was corrected as follows: In the heading and first sentence, the sale amount of the General Obligation Bonds, 2014 Series A was changed from \$8M to \$18M. The fourth sentence of the first paragraph was also changed to the following: "The current issue is secured by a general obligation unlimited tax pledge and proceeds will be used to finance the acquisition of open space as well as refund the town's series 2005 and 2006 bonds for estimated net present value savings of 5.6% of refunded principal taken over the remaining life of the bonds and without extension of maturity." Revised release follows.

NEW YORK, May 02, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa2 rating to Westerly Town's (RI) \$18.03 million General Obligation Bonds, 2014 Series A. Concurrently, we have affirmed the Aa2 rating on the town's \$57.9 million of outstanding parity debt and the Rhode Island Health and Educational Building Corporation's (RIHEBC) Series 2011C secured solely by the town. In addition, we have affirmed the Aa3 underlying rating on three additional RIHEBC financings that the town is a participant in (among others): the Series 2011 A, Series 2010 A, and 2010 G bonds. The current issue is secured by a general obligation unlimited tax pledge and proceeds will be used to finance the acquisition of open space as well as refund the town's series 2005 and 2006 bonds for estimated net present value savings of 5.6% of refunded principal taken over the remaining life of the bonds and without extension of maturity.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aa2 rating incorporates the town's adequate financial position, sizeable and stable tax base, and an above average direct debt burden.

STRENGTHS

- Sizeable and stable tax base with seasonal/tourism component
- Conservative financial management with formalized policies
- Strong full value per capita

CHALLENGES

- Higher than average unemployment

-Slightly higher than average debt burden

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

ADEQUATE FINANCIAL POSITION DESPITE RECENT REDUCTIONS IN CASH AND RESERVES

The town's General Fund (which has included school operations since 2011) previously experienced a trend of consecutive surpluses to end fiscal 2011 at \$14.3 million, or 18.4% of revenues. This favorable trend occurred despite a strained economic and operating environment, including sizable reductions in state aid. Two consecutive annual deficits modestly reduced total General Fund reserves to \$13.3 million, or a still-strong 14.9% of revenues in fiscal 2013. Although the town's financial position benefits from conservative budgeting practices and formalized reserve policies, total General Fund cash declined to \$6.3 million, or 7.1% of revenue in fiscal 2013, from \$14.3 million, or 21.2% of revenues in fiscal 2011.

The fiscal 2013 deficit of \$820,000 was primarily due to overspending in education (\$300,000), public works (\$400,000), and the finance department (\$231,000). The town's cash position fell \$5 million due to delayed FEMA reimbursements, School Fund transfers and the funding of a health insurance reserve. During fiscal 2013, town and school operations were primarily funded by property taxes (73%), followed by state aid (23%). During 2013, an isolated section of the community was impacted by Super Storm Sandy, resulting in a total estimated damage of \$2.9 million, or 3.6% of budget. The town paid for the damages with cash on hand and expects to be 75% reimbursed by FEMA. To date the town has received \$1.2 million from FEMA and expects to receive the remaining portion by the end of fiscal 2015.

The fiscal 2014 budget was balanced with a 1.5% levy increase, a \$642,000 fund balance appropriation for the town and \$1 million fund balance appropriation for schools. Management anticipates a \$300,000 operating surplus by year end. The fiscal 2015 budget includes a 1.75% levy increase and a \$500,000 fund balance appropriation for the town and \$500,000 fund balance appropriation for the schools.

Despite the fiscal 2012 and 2013 deficits, Westerly's financial position remains satisfactory. However, future deficits or further reductions in liquidity could result in negative credit pressure.

SIZEABLE COASTAL COMMUNITY WITH A SIGNIFICANT SEASONAL COMPONENT

Westerly's sizable \$5.9 billion tax base is expected to remain stable, although new growth and the appreciation of existing property is anticipated to remain modest given the slow pace of the economic recovery. Located in southwestern Rhode Island (rated Aa2 negative), the town is expected to continue to benefit from its commutability to Providence (rated Baa1 stable) and parts of Connecticut (rated Aa3 stable). Additionally, the town has long been a popular summer home and vacation destination, given its seaside location. Reportedly, the population increases to approximately 50,000-60,000 in the summer months, nearly doubling its year-round population. Median family income levels are moderately stronger than state and national medians but full value per capita is a very strong \$258,510 and reflective of the significant presence of seasonal residences.

The most recent revaluations (effective in fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2014) resulted in a combined decrease in market values of 10.6%, relatively manageable decline compared to that of many other Rhode Island communities. While mostly built out, the town continues to benefit from the redevelopment of existing properties. Three of the town's historic hotels have recently been renovated, including the Ocean House (a \$140 million project). The town is also experiencing continued commercial development along its Rt. 1 corridor.

SLIGHTLY HIGHER THAN AVERAGE BUT MANAGEABLE DEBT POSITION AND PENSION LIABILITIES

Westerly's debt position is anticipated to remain manageable given moderate future borrowing plans and ongoing cash funding for capital improvements. The town's debt burden slightly above average (1.4% of full value) and amortization of principal is 77.3% of principal retired within 10 years. When state school construction aid is included, the debt burden declines to a lower 1.1% of full value. Debt service comprised 10.7% of expenditures in fiscal 2013 and is expected to remain manageable. Future borrowing plans may include school improvement projects funded with a bond issuance that would fill an expected drop in debt service during fiscal 2016. The town's outstanding long-term debt consists only of fixed-rate bonds and the town is not party to any derivative agreements.

The town maintains various defined benefit pension funds and a defined contribution plan. The total combined ARC for all pension plans was \$5.0 million or 5.8% of expenditures in fiscal 2013. In total the combined Adjusted Net Pension Liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, for the State administered ERS

teachers plan, the MERS plan and the locally administered police plan was a slightly higher than average \$97 million, which represents 1.65% of full value or 1.08 times General Fund revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the town's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the town's share of liability for the state-run plans in proportion to its contributions to the plans.

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Sustained and significant improvement to the town's financial position
- Strengthening of the town's residential wealth profile

WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Protracted structural budget imbalance resulting in the continued reduction of General Fund reserves
- Sustained reduction of liquidity
- Continued deterioration in the size of the town's taxable base

KEY STATISTICS

Full Value, Fiscal 2014: \$5.9 billion

Full Value Per Capita, Fiscal 2014: \$258,510

Median Family Income as % of US Median (2012 American Community Survey): 120%

Fund Balance as % of Revenues, Fiscal 2013: 14.8%

5-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues: 4.2%

Cash Balance as % of Revenues, Fiscal 2013: 7.1%

5-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues: -4.7%

Institutional Framework: "A"

5-Year Average Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures: 1.01x

Net Direct Debt as % of Full Value: 1.4%

Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues: 0.97x

3-Year Average ANPL as % of Assessed Value: 1.65%

3-Year Average ANPL / Operating Revenues: 1.08x

The principal methodology used in rating the general obligation debt was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. The principal methodology used in rating the pooled debt was Public Sector Pool Financings published in July 2012. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of these methodologies.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for

the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Vito Galluccio
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Geordie Thompson
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE

RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.